and delayed on such grounds if so, what are the details thereof; and

(c) whether it is a fact that Municipal Corporation of Delhi have extended building by-laws allowing excess coverage upto 20 per cent per floor against payment of fee, if so, what are the details thereof and fees fixed for such coverage?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) No, Sir. The Municipal Corporation of Delhi (MCD) have reported that in the case of built up properties allotted by the Ministry of Rehabilitation to the displaced persons, the building plans for additions/alterations in the buildup properties are sanctioned if it is established that the property was allotted as builtup property. However, in case of unauthorised construction after allotment not covered by building bye-laws, 1983, the applicants are requested by MCD to remove such unauthorised additions by MCD. If the applicants do not have any plans or records as proof of existing structures, they are asked to submit the assessment report of the House Tax Department to ascertain the period of construction of such buildings and there after the building plans are considered for sanction on merits.

(b) Does not arise in view of the reply to part (a).

(c) A notification was issued on 13-12-90 to amend appendix (Q) of the building bye-laws for compounding excess coverage upto 20 per cent per floor (upto a maximum of 450 sq. feet) and infringment of set-back upto a maximum of 2 feets on payment of compounding fee to be prescribed by the Commissioner. The extent of regularisation of excess coverage was to a maximum of 10 per cent and for infringment of set-back, it was 1 foot, for future constructions. These amendments were not applicable to the District Centres, Community Centres and other Commercial Centres planned by DDA and MCD.

Delhi Administration proposes to rescind the Notification and objections from the public in regard to the proposal, if any have been invited by 29-3-1992.

Post of Principal Commissioner in DDA

2683. SHRI P. UPENDRA: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a post of Principal Commissioner has been created in the D.D.A.;

(b) whether this post is lying vacant from August, 1991; and

(c) if so, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The post is vacant since 2nd Sept., 91.

(c) The officer who was holding the post was repatriated to Delhi Administration. Orders placing an officer against the post of Principal Commissioner, have however, been issued recently.

Investment on National Capital Region

2684. SHRI KRISHNA KUMAR BIRLA: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government propose to invest about Rs. 2840 crores for the National Capital Region in the Eighth Five Year Plan period;

(b) if so, the details of the NCR towns which are proposed to be taken up during the Eighth Plan period;

(c) whether the Planning Commission has since cleared the proposal to invest Rs. 2840 crores; and

(d) if so, the details thereof?